## fate in the hands of jury: Final statements made in court Thursday

## BY ERIC LAUGHLIN

MOUNTAIN DESADORAT

PLACERVILLE — Attorneys in a manufaughter case with ties to South Lake Tahoe had their final chance to sell their side to jurious in a closing argument session. Thursday that lasted several hours.

Prosecutor Worth Dikeman, who has argued defendant had intent to kill Edward Ortega following a confrontation on the evening of July 31, 2002, told juries that the only person claiming the death was self-defense is and they would have to believe the 56-year-old ranch owner's story to return a not guilty sender.

There's no doubt Mr. has been a successful businessman who's been active in his rommunity. Dikeman said. "A handful of his friends even testified they found him to be bonest and non-violent. But they sould not be in any position to tell us how he would react in a fight."

Different absorbed percent that Ortega's last words of "I'm gorna shoot your ass" do not postify the use of deadly force.

the went on to say that story did

say match up with the defense's claim—

backed by a pathologist at bired—that a fall
to the ground, and not a sledgeharmner strike,
has what caused the skull fracture that ended

Ortegas life within seconds or minutes.

Krysten Kellum / Mountain Democrate left, talks with his lawyer, William Routsis, in court on June 21. Sency is accused or manslaughter for his alleged killing of a worker with a sledgehammer in 2002.

Dikeman said he did not wish to defend any of the several instances of violent behavior on behalf of victim Ortega, but said the outbursts arose when Ortega believed he or his family was in danger.

Judge Eddie T. Keller allowed five of the past Ortega outbursts into the trial, which included one in which the former Arizona man beat another man with a tire jack and broke the man's nose after mistaking him for someone else. Another was an incident where Ortega assaulted a sleeping cab driver in the 1980s.

56, has maintained he acted in self-defense in the violent episode that led to the death of 45-year-old Ortega, who had worked on ranch as a mason. testified this week that he hit Ortega with the handle of a sledgehammer only after Ortega came after him with a knife and said he was going to kill him.

In his closing argument, attorney William Routsis said the case is about character. The attorney cited a California law that states if a person's character is found to be incapable of violence, that in itself in sufficient to render a not guilty verdict.

Routsis said his client's past, as made evident by the handful of character witnesses, shows he could not have acted with intent to kill Ortega, Routsis cited his description of his client's gentleman-like cooperation with law enforcement and the fact that charges were not filed until a year after the incident.

"The prosecutor even had a video made of him walking around (the site of the incident) and telling what hap pened," Routsis said. "But they didn't use at And you know why they didn't use at Because they were concerned about Roaf's credibility."

"What you have here is a violent excounarmed with a knife who comes at a man use his own property," the Remochased apportuwent on to say. "A man in that a sech carriers has every right to protect himself."

Near the end of his statement Rounnraised eyebrows in the courtroom when he actually told jurors they should convect his client on one of the lesser charges, illegally moving the body.

"I think you need to correct him so that charge," he said. "I think that lesson is one of the most difficult lessons." well fearn."

Near the end of his statement Routies, for the last time, highlighted one of his key arguments used throughout the trial, that Ortega was a violent man.

"He was a raging psychopath," Bourses said. "In a furny way he brought about his own death."

Dikeman was still offering up his a translation at press time in bont of a paractic courtroom of supporters from both sides.

After a final series of instructions by history Keller, turoes will decide a better on the is guilty of the manufacturities discuss a state prison term of these to a